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Coping with Uncertainty during Change: A Relational Approach inspired by Kurt Lewin

Abstract

Change is a constant; it is emergent, relational and it happens through interaction. Kurt Lewin (1890–1947) was not only one of the first social scientists to understand this, but he was also one of the first to actually practice it. A partial return to Lewin’s original conceptions of action research, field theory, and participative change could provide practitioners and scholars today with a valuable theory-based approach, not only to cope with uncertainty but also to see uncertainty as a source of change. Coping with uncertainty as a source for change is, in essence, what Lewin meant by the process of ‘unfreezing’, which is about cleansing, opening, and refining the doors of perception which, over the years, when combined with later social constructionists’ insights, have been developed by practitioners and theorists to create a ‘relational’ approach to organisation development (OD).

1. Introduction

Uncertainty can be defined as “an individual’s perceived inability to predict something accurately” (Milliken, 1987, p. 136). During organisational change, employees are likely to perceive uncertainty in relation to a range of different organisational issues, including the rationale behind the change, the process, and the expected outcomes of the change. As such, uncertainty is considered to be an undesirable state which motivates people to engage in coping strategies aimed at reducing such perceptions. While much attention in change management literature has been given to employee uncertainty, the majority of this literature has focused on change content disseminated
by senior management (Allen et al, 2007). However, considering that many organisations fail to provide employees with adequate information during change, employees often seek information through interaction with people they know and trust in a quest to resolve their uncertainty. In this regard, it is interesting to introduce Lewin’s profound faith in mutual participation and continual interaction in decision-making. Lewin’s way of working was cyclical, in which every step involves the full participation of all concerned regarding the subject at hand; in doing so, everyone has a mutual sense of what is going on (Van Nistelrooij et al, 2013).

This contribution starts by emphasising the way Lewin framed social science as the study of the challenges of real life and how he connected these challenges to theory. The next section emphasises how Lewin approached his interventions by starting to look at the whole psychological ‘social field’, which Lewin called ‘field theory’, because he was particularly influenced by Gestalt psychology. The process of considering the whole can be used today as a principle to guide the setting up of a dialogical process to help people to cope with their uncertainties. The fourth section discusses Lewin’s original theory of change. The same section concentrates on the pivotal process of ‘unfreezing’, which can be linked to Gergen’s ‘generativity’ and ultimately to the relational conditions of what is called ‘dialogical OD’. This contribution closes with some practical reflections.

2. Connecting Lewin’s action research to an emergent collaborative inquiry process

Lewin was convinced that if you want to describe and explain the essence of human phenomena, you have to intervene directly in the processes as they occur in order to observe what happens. Lewin translated this action research into the following three general principles (Lewin in Coghlan & Shani, 2017, p.124–5):
1) *Wholeness and uniqueness of a given social situation*

Change of one kind of behaviour is associated with change of other kinds; it is important when bringing about change to look at the entire social setting involved, rather than isolated actions or decisions.

2) *Practical knowing in the present tense*

Just as in the case of organisation development, action research is grounded in a philosophy of practical knowing which, according to Lewin, emphasises the following characteristics:

1. the everyday concerns of human living;
2. how practical knowing is socially delivered and constructed;
3. how its uniqueness in each situation needs to be attended to; and
4. how practical action is driven by values and is fundamentally an ethical process.

3) *Collaborative challenges to the status quo*

Action research also challenges the status quo from a participative or collaborative perspective. In other words, it is not only about observing what is happening but also – simultaneously – about intervening in how things are and determining what they can become.

Using these principles, a change effort can be focused on the individual in relation to his or her entire social behaviour setting. Moreover, in this way, interventions can be undertaken in the spirit of collaboration and co-inquiry, whereby observing and intervening are constructed, enacted and evaluated with people, rather than on or for them. Nowadays, when discussing these principles, we talk in terms of an emergent collaborative inquiry process.
3. *Linking Lewin’s field theory to context, perception and interaction*

Lewin’s field theory argues that behaviour is derived from the totality of co-existing and interdependent forces that impinge on a person or group and make up the social life space in which the behaviour takes place. According to Lewin, the social life space is the whole psychological environment that the person experiences subjectively, although not necessarily consciously. In this regard, in order for individuals to undertake action, this action has to be perceived (by individuals) as meaningful for the entire social setting. Thus, Lewin (1951, p. 228–9) maintains that looking at individuals separately from each other and separately from the person’s perceptual or psychological environment produces a misleading view of the causes of human behaviour and how it can be changed. Therefore, how a person behaves depends not just on the forces that impinge on them, but also on their subjective perceptions of these forces. To sum up, a field or a whole social (life) setting is characterised by:

1. An emphasis on a person’s subjective perspective;
2. The incorporation of all that is subjectively relevant to a person; for example, his or her perceptions, emotional goals, needs, desires, intentions, tensions, and cognitive processes;
3. The elements and their relations which comprise this whole social setting, which are interdependent and stand in a dynamic mutual relationship.

Altogether, these characteristics position Lewin’s field theory as closely associated with Gestalt psychology. Although we mostly interact with our environment through direct physical contact, it is our perceptual interactive contact with the environment that enables us to anticipate the required neuromuscular control, as stated in Lewin’s formula B=f(p,e) in which B stands for Behaviour, which is a function (f) of a person (p) and environment (e). This three-way relationship between context, perception and interaction allows us to become aware of our social perception in the way that
our environment responds to the actions we take. In the words of Lewin and Grabbe (1948, p. 57): “Social action no less than physical action is steered by perception.” Likewise, our social actions are steered by the position in which we perceive ourselves and others within the total social setting (Van Nistelrooij et al, 2013).

4. Lewin’s theory of change and ‘unfreezing’

Lewin argues that it is usually easier to change individuals in a group than to change any one of them separately. In his own words, Lewin (1951) describes a change effort as follows:

A change towards a higher level of group performance is frequently short-lived; after a ‘shot in the arm’, group life soon returns to the previous level. This indicates that it does not suffice to define the objective of planned change in group performance as the reaching of a different level. Permanency of the new level, or permanency for a desired period, should be included in the objective. A successful change includes therefore three aspects: unfreezing (if necessary) the present level L1, moving to the new level L2, and freezing group life on the new level (p.228–9).

Lewin’s theory of change became widely applied in OD and was later also widely criticised because of its discontinuous and overly simplistic nature regarding the ‘freezing’ of change. However, as Cummings and his colleagues (2016) argue, what we know of Lewin’s theory of change is more than changing in three steps and, above all, this theory is largely a post hoc reconstruction and an empirically supported plea for a more quasi-equilibrium perspective on change. For example, Lewin (1951) was adamant that group dynamics must not be seen in simplistic or static terms and he believed that groups were never in a steady state, seeing them instead as being in continuous movement, albeit having periods of relative stability or “quasi-stationary equilibria” (p.199). Lewin’s desire in this respect links to the view that while
change and constancy in the life of an individual and in language may seem paradoxical, their co-existence in the life of a group is not; an understanding of the force field made up of the elements promoting change and the elements promoting constancy is key. Finally, despite our in-born need for stability, order and a stationary state of being, unfreezing is much-needed, mostly to prevent us from being susceptible to entrained thought.

In these fast-changing times, the reason so many change efforts are ineffective is usually directly traceable to not providing the right attention to the feeling of (perceived) loss processes and uncertainty. Without proper attention to the process of unfreezing, the change effort will be nothing more than what Lewin calls a ‘shot in the arm’. In this regard, the process of unfreezing seems to be about organising time and space for coping with and accepting this loss. Moreover, it also has something to do with becoming conscious of one’s own assumptions and perceptions regarding the change proposals and the extent to which we find ourselves capable of successfully realising these changes. However, as Schein (1996, p.29) made clear, there is more to the endeavour of unfreezing than simply managing the process of dissatisfaction. In fact, he describes it as intertwining processes of unlearning (de-construction) and learning (re-construction).

5. Linking Lewin’s ‘unfreezing’ to today’s dialogical OD

The way Lewin describes the importance of the process of unfreezing has a lot in common in with how Gergen introduced the concept of generativity in the social sciences. Later on, in 2007, generativity was picked up by Bushe (2013) as being the distinct virtue of what has become known as ‘Dialogic OD’. Gergen (1978) defined generativity as the “capacity to challenge the guiding assumptions of the culture, to raise fundamental questions regarding contemporary social life, to foster reconsideration of that which is ‘taken
for granted’ and thereby furnish new alternatives for social actions” (p.1346). While reflecting on the concept, Bushe (2013, p.90) specifically asserts that a generative image allows people to see the world anew, identify new options, formulate new strategies, and even cope with their uncertainty. The most generative images influence our feelings and motivations as well as our thoughts. People take new decisions and actions because of how attractive that image is.

Dialogic OD is based on a view of organisations where groups of individuals and their actions result from self-organising, socially constructed realities created and sustained by the prevailing narratives, and conversations through which people make meaning of their experiences (Bushe & Marshak, 2013, p.194). In this way, change can be seen as part of a continuous process of self-organising and practices resulting from emergent rather than directed processes. In other words, one does not plan for a specific change, but instead helps to foster the following relational conditions that lead to new ways of thinking and processes of new reality-constructing:

- Disrupting prevailing social reality by adding a diversity of ideas, questions, actors, processes, and so forth to the existing situation. This reduces uncertainty and introduces new narratives and perspectives from which new social agreements about the state of affairs and what to do can emerge.

- Creating a social field that provides the right ingredients and space for participants to inquire together, making room for both individual and collective expression, through which old ways of thinking are contested and new possibilities emerge.

- Emphasising unfreezing rather than solving a problem or enhancing a current condition. A generative idea offers people new ways of thinking and acting which they hadn’t been able to consider before, but that they had wanted to act on. For example, reckoning with what we don’t seem to understand; confronting or reframing prevailing ways of talking...
about or experiencing things; or creating new images, language, or stories that open doors to new ways of conceiving of a situation.

Echoing Lewin’s work in this way, a dialogic OD mindset assumes that people self-organise socially constructed realities that are continuously created, conveyed, and changed through exchanging narratives and images.

6. Some closing practical reflections

These reflections on Lewin’s action research, field theory, and unfreezing bring us to the following practical features of how to enable people to cope in a collaborative way with uncertainty, by means of collectively deciphering a purpose, the relevant relationships and people:

1. Relationships
To establish a meaningful pattern between people, we ask ourselves, for example, “Who’s interacting with whom?” However, in the same way we ask ourselves, “To what extent are people behaving in a mutually dependent way?” and “How do these interdependent relations and interactions between them relate to the purpose of the whole social field?” By repeatedly asking these questions of the directly involved participants, we are looking for the boundaries, dynamic structure, and context of the social system that we are trying to decipher. The first contours of such a social whole are demarcated when participants recognise that they share the same purpose.

2. Purpose
One way to deduce the purpose of a social life space is to watch for a while to see how the people in it interact. However, instead of observing the interaction of a group of people, we can also follow Lewin’s adage that “You cannot understand a system until you try to change it!” For example, when we try to alter a vicious recursive pattern, we can expect, as a reaction, less or more symptomatic behaviours exemplary of the social system we are studying.
Thus, by intervening directly, we see exactly what autonomous behaviour a
group of people produces and, of course, the problematic-enforcing behav-
iour and the corresponding problem-solving behaviour. Both of these are
symptoms of the same problematic recursive pattern because they are related
to the purpose of this whole of interacting people.

3. People
We tend to assume that because we understand individuals and their be-

haviour that we understand the relationships between them. Therefore, we
assume that we understand the purpose of what they are doing as a group.
Changing people or their behaviour usually has the least effect on what goes
on. As Lewin (1951) explains, a group of people with a shared purpose and
mutually dependent relationships has a tendency towards a quasi-stationary
equilibrium. This means that the group generally goes on being itself, chang-
ing only slowly, if at all, even with complete substitutions of its elements – as
long as its interconnections and purposes remain intact.

In Figure 1, the above social field components are linked with practical ques-
tions, which can help us to decipher and demarcate a social field as a whole.
Discussing them with the participants helps us to define the scope of the
intended change as well as to invite other participants to see them, which
helps with the first interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose (why?)</th>
<th>Define related issues and challenges: Define objectives (push and pull)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define objectives</td>
<td>What is it that we want to get rid of (push)? What is it what we want to realise (pull)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders (who?)</td>
<td>Which individuals or groups have a stake in realising these objectives? Which do we need in the process of realising these objectives?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define the participants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Differential diagnosis (what?)

Define ‘current reality’ from different perspectives

What are the problematic issues and what are the problem-solving behaviours? How do they differ according to the various perspectives of all participants?

### First encounters and steps (how?)

Recursive activities, which repeatedly validate the above

Compose a process group, validate all the above, formulate a leading question, and prepare the programme for a collective dialogue session.

---

Figure 1. The components of identifying a preliminary social field

Deciphering the social field in this way allows us to understand perceptions, images, interpretations, and convictions that sustain participants’ uncertainties. Moreover, this also identifies those forces that would need to be either strengthened or weakened in order to cope with their uncertainties and bring change. In this regard, bridging the link in a systemic way between individual perception and collective meaning will have the greatest chance of taking first steps in the direction of realising the purpose we have all agreed upon. In the words of Lewin and Grabbe (1948): “Only by this change in social perception can change in the individual’s social action be realized” (p.61). Changing an individual is difficult and most likely to happen when the direct environment – the total social interactive setting – of the individual is included in the change intention. Change intentions that facilitate participative decision-making and organisational support have also been shown to influence employees’ perceptions of uncertainty and trust in what is about to happen (Robinson, 1996). Today, dialogical OD practitioners such as Bushe describe the importance of seeing the whole social field, which is needed to interactively address the right issues at the right time with the right people.
References


About the Authors

Suzy Adra, Ph.D., completed her dissertation entitled The States of Presence and Insight in The Painting Process at the California Institute of Integral Studies in May of 2016. She is a frequent presenter at the Science & Non-duality (SAND) Conference where she shares her academic research, artwork and yoga. Suzy is a Trauma Release Practitioner and a painter and has been studying and teaching yoga since 1998. To see more of Suzy’s art and writings, visit:


Tova Averbuch has been an Organization Development (OD) consultant for forty years, a break-through processes designer, facilitator, teacher and writer. She brought Open Space Technology (OST) to Israel in 1999, pioneers in applying dialogic mindset and methodologies in and across boundaries in business, community and socio-political life in Israel and internationally. Until recently she taught master’s degree students of OD at Tel Aviv University School of Business. She contributed a chapter to “Dialogic Organization Development”, a textbook first published by B&K in 2015. Tova brings vitality and creative energy, a spirit of generosity and genuine human contact that feed the emergence of human bonding, surprising partnerships and creative solutions to difficult questions. For more information see

www.tovaaverbuch.com

Dana Liberman, MA, Psychosocial Support Specialist working with IsraAID in developing countries after disaster. Using her experience in Clinical Psychology, Organizational Consulting, Multicultural Facilitating and Arts, she explores with the glocal communities issues of resilience in acute changes, growth of leadership and creation of new narratives. After many years with the IDF, leading workshops, she coached managers and teams to restructure boundaries. Today, re-framing situations to opportunities during crisis or
new challenges is her updated contribution, also to the WWP movement. Contact on Facebook or to
danali.mentor@gmail.com.

mukuria@thetruenorth.co.ke

**Antonie van Nistelrooij** is assistant Professor of Organization Development and Change at the Department of Organization Studies at the VU University Amsterdam. Besides his work for the university he is a senior management consultant in facilitating dialogical change processes and a lecturer in several Dutch MBA studies on the subject of Management and Organizational Change.

**Andrea Schueller, Ph.D.**, integral Consultant and generative Coach with a comprehensive background of Economics, Organizational Design, Gestalt, Group Dynamics, Systemic Constellations, Focusing, Arts, Yoga and Generative Change. She provides transformation and innovation through state-of-the art mind-body methodology for individuals as well as for small and large systems. She developed her own style of holistic processing fostering generative change via bridging individual and collective conscious(ness) development. She is heart and head of COS Creations and Co-Founder of COS-Collective.

www.andrea-schueller.com
Yve Susskind, Ph.D., works with NGOs and GOs, primarily in complex situations where what to do and what will happen as a result are not clear. Using four questions - What is happening? What is resulting? What are we learning? What do we need to do next? - Yve collaborates with social innovators to understand their work and results in order to increase the effectiveness of strategies and programs. She integrates a variety of approaches including principle-focused and developmental evaluation; collaborative research and evaluation; case study, story-based and other qualitative and quantitative methods. Contact yve@praxisassociates.com;


Nancy Wallis, Ph.D is in her third year of studies toward the completion of a M.Div. in a joint program between Bloy House, the Episcopal Theological Seminary at Claremont, and Claremont School of Theology in California. Nancy is developing her career, focused thus far on teaching, coaching, researching, and writing in the field of organizational leadership development, by exploring new, Spirit-filled ways to engage transformation and regeneration in leaders that address the global challenges and uncertainties we face. She is especially drawn to the work of Apostle Paul and the early church in studying the leadership development possibilities grounded in the values of love for humanity and social justice and responsibility.

Tonnie van der Zouwen is a professor for Sustainable Working and Organising at Avans University of Applied Sciences in the Netherlands and also works as an independent organisational consultant. Her work focuses on developing change capacities in organizations and networks. Her PhD research resulted in a framework for effective use of large-scale interventions, an approach used for creating sustainable change with the whole system of stakeholders. Tonnie holds master’s degrees in Environmental Biology and in Change Management. She is co-owner of COS Collective and deputy editor in chief of the COS-Journal. For further information see www.tonnievanderzouwen.com.
Get your COS-Conference Experience Report

Learn about the design and flow of the COS-Conference and harvest the ‘golden nuggets’ and fresh insights of this international deep dive into Uncertainty. Jacqueline Janssen designed and coordinated a rich and comprehensive photo report.

Visit our webpage for ordering your hard copy: www.cos-collective.com or send your order to office@cos-collective.com.


“What Do We Do When We Don’t Know What to Do?” – COS Conference 2017 in Nordwijk, The Netherlands. Seventy four people from 15 countries, of all ages, curious and passionate about the topic, or with deep experience and wisdom in working with uncertainty, looking for fellow travellers to share, create and disseminate this type of wisdom gathered for a 5-day learning expedition. The design and preparation process of this conference were an emerging work. We used a structure with a wealth of ted-like talks, workshops, space for emerging contributions by all participants/co-creators. We see the conference as part of a larger process. We started weaving our web of relations long before we met in October 2017, and we will continue with a network of networks to create wisdom for this eternal question and challenge.
A R T ificial Intelligence

The Art of Intelligence – Backbone of Digitization?

A learning journey getting closer to digital realities

at GRAND GARAGE®, Linz
November 15 – 17, 2019

Fishing on Friday
• Which competencies could lead us?
• Backbones in transient settings
• Do we need organisation(s) or what kind of do we need?
• Self-organizing emergence
• Who shapes whom? Crossing-over of intelligences

Diving on Saturday
• Production as a Service
• Arts or Crafting?
• Robots and workforce as singular entity / cocreation?
• Humanizing robots / digital humans?
• Encoded agility as major impact?

Coming Up on Sunday
• The Me and digital identities
• Profiling future work and business
• Commoning in an increasingly diversified world
• Consultancy as engineering task or co-creating art?

Collaborate experiences designed by
COS-Collective
GRAND GARAGE®
Business Informatics
@ JKU

for
Design Thinkers
Consultants
Artists
Inquirers
Professionals
Learners
Explorers
Researchers
Mindful leaders

COS-Collective.com
GRANDGARAGE.eu
jku.at/ce
A R T ificial Intelligence: Backbone or Bottleneck of Digitization?

Getting Closer to Digital Realities and the Way to Handle them

CALL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BE INSPIRED; CONTRIBUTE AND CO-CREATE

Over a relatively short time scientists and technology developers managed to automate tasks that have defied us for decades by putting together various intelligences under the umbrella of Artificial Intelligence. These achievements could easily lead us to ascribe the automation of these tasks human-level intelligence. This perception has gained momentum due to digital healthcare apps, smart production cycles, and deep learning bots, and is leading to some implications:

- Excitement is mixed with fear of what AI-based digitization might bring in commerce, industry, and society in the future.
- Questions are raised with respect to continuing the investment in machine learning approaches for self-emergent digital systems as development control might be passed on to artefacts, and what kind of processes will influence society.
- Identifying a dilemma for the mission of organizations and their leaders, being impressed and enjoy, what digital transformation is able to accomplish, while needing to accept that full artificial intelligence could spell the end of the human agitation as we know it today.

So why not recognizing that digital transformation processes based on Artificial Intelligence reveals interesting essentials about the structure of our world and the artefacts we create. Then, the question today is not whether
we should use digital or human-driven approaches but how to integrate and fuse them so we can realize their collective design capabilities. We are interested how ART raises awareness for this fusion and how we will find a dialog along crossroads of disciplines. Hence, this 3-day-learning journey aims to generate reflective practitioners who are willing to become well versed in and appreciate digital realities while also being informed about their structural and systemic particularities. We will jointly find out what do we have to create, develop and maintain to balance this transformation processes.

We invite

- Design Thinkers to ideate novel concepts and development procedures
- Consultants to instill multiple intelligences in organizational developments
- ARTists to articulate challenges and opportunities in creative ways
- Inquirers to ask performative questions
- Professionals like Information Managers to rethink handling collective intelligence
- Learners to bundle knowledge to intelligent task behavior
- Explorers to equalize space for various types of intelligence
- Researchers to reflect on the inherent logic of systems and learning paradigms, such as combining symbolic and sub-symbolic representations for deep learning
- Mindful leaders to scope intelligence towards mindful collective behavior
- Reflective hybrids to build bridges between disciplines
GRAND GARAGE® is the leading space for creative reflections and breakthroughs, as it creates the future to experience it by digital and tangible means.

- You can actively provide input to Fishing – when you do not know yet, but are willing to argue for essential questions
- You can contribute to diving to Saturday by providing input statements to selected topics, such as organization learning based on digital intelligence, providing orientation and background knowledge and this helping to answer essential questioning
- Finally you could presence and thus bring new realities to life

Our schedule:
Report your possible contribution

- Deadline: June 1, 2019
- Notification: July 1, 2019

Refinements and Final Design:

- Deadline: Sep 1, 2019

See you at GRAND GARAGE® in Linz
November 15 – 17, 2019

Questions? office@cos-collective.com
YOUR NAME:

YOUR AFFILIATION:

Tel.no., E-mail, website:

What Inspires Me to Participate?
Please provide the intention and purpose for joining the learning journey

Background
Please provide your skills and expertise as well as your experience and work interests

Type of Contributor
Are you

☐ a design thinker aiming to ideate novel concepts and development procedure?

☐ a consultant instilling multiple intelligences in organizational developments?

☐ an ARTist helping to articulate challenges and opportunities in creative ways?

☐ an inquirer to ask performative questions?

☐ a professional rethinking how to handle collective intelligence?

☐ a learner trying to bundle knowledge to intelligent task behavior?
☐ an explorer equalizing space for various types of intelligence?
☐ a researcher reflecting on the inherent logic of systems and learning paradigms?
☐ a mindful leader targeting to scope intelligence towards mindful collective behavior?
☐ a reflective hybrid wanting to build bridges between disciplines?

Contribution

**Type of Contribution:** Please provide your envisioned input (i.e. the WHAT) to the learning journey – it may range from presenting latest research to co-create a digital artefact along the journey.

**Format:** Please provide the way participants should perceive your contribution (i.e. the HOW) – it may range from giving a position statement concerning a certain topic to facilitating the co-creation of a digital artefact.

**Individual / Collective Outcome:** Please provide what individuals and the other travelers of the learning journey could harvest from your contribution along the learning journey (i.e. the WHO).

Please send this form to: office@cos-collective.com

**Questions?** Please contact us!
office@cos-collective.com or see our website: cos-collective.com
Flow peer group
(3 x 1d)

Your homebase for orientation, integration & individual learning

Group in collective flow
(5d)

Deep dive generative group dynamics

COS Conference active participation
(2,5d)

Engage on stage, show your intention and action for organisations & society

Creating my Master’s piece
Writers space *
Photography & Film *
Freestyle *

* choose one – or more (optional)

Craft your ideas and developments and bring them into the world. Act!

Next New Action
(3d)

Assess your creative potential for leadership and consulting

Integrating somatic intelligence in high performance teams
(4d)

Awaken somatic intelligence for generative change

Whole System:
Co-Creating new structures for collaboration
(2,5d)

Futuring, working with large groups and networks for transformational change

COS Curriculum
Creators for Organisations & Society
25 days & 1d/8h coaching for master’s piece
The COS-Certified Curriculum
“Creating Organisations & Society”

New Creations in Organisations & Society originate in the undivided source of sensing, feeling, thinking. Acting from there we make a difference. In this curriculum you will touch the source, develop your inner world and come out with new resources for action in the outer world. It’s challenging for you and others!

We designed the curriculum for mindful people who:

- Wish to live and work closer to their calling and aspiration.
- Desire to go on a journey of transformation and tangible action.
- Want to intentionally achieve better, more creative results in the organisations they own or work for.
- Change their surroundings collaboratively, mindfully and powerfully.
- Direct intention and generative power towards shared development.
- Enter uncharted territory.

Here and now modules address individual, group and organisational learning spaces and offer learning on the spot in the here and now. You practice presencing and learn how to intervene in the moment – here and now. This is where immediate change happens.

Flow and grow together through action learning. You come closer to yourself, develop ways to generatively hold your many facets, connect with others in this way and manifest your actions from a fresh, supportive
social network. A learning through experiencing and acting, experiencing and acting …

**Craft and manifest:** During your learning journey you are continuously crafting your own masters’ piece. This artistic, scientific or freestyle „piece of work“ is your gift and your challenge to yourself and to Organisations & Society: The one you work or live in or the one you are intending to create. A project development, a new business idea, a book, a new way of working and living.

**Your calling** triggers and shapes your learning journey throughout all modules. We support you in making a pearl-chain, your intentional learning process is the pearl string. – Beautiful!

---

**COS Certified Curriculum: Creators for Organisation & Society**

For more information please contact:
Dr. Andrea Schueller: andrea@cos-collective.com
Dr. Maria Spindler: maria@cos-collective.com

Costs approx.: € 5.600,– + VAT
Become a Friend & Member of COS!

Join the COS movement and become a Friend & Member of COS! COS is a home for reflective hybrids and a growing platform for co-creation of meaningful, innovative forms of working & living in and for organizations and society, between and beyond theory and practice. We invite you to become an active member of COS.

Being a part of COS you have access to our products and happenings. As a Friend & Member, you carry forward the COS intention of co-creating generative systems through mindful, fresh mind-body action. Let’s connect in and for novel ways around the globe!

Access points for your participation & future contribution are:

- Mutual inspiration & support at the COS-Conference
- Development & transformation at COS-Creations Seminars
- Creative scientific publishing & reading between and beyond theory and practice
- COS LinkedIn Virtual Community
- And more …

The Friend & Membership fee is € 200,00 + 20% VAT for 2 years.

Your 2 years COS Friend & Membership includes:

- 2 editions of the COS-journal: 4 hard copies, one for you and one for a friend of yours = 4 hard copies for the value of € 112,00
- Conference fee discount of 25 %
- COS-Creations: Special discount of 20 % for one seminar of your choice during the membership period.

Please send your application for membership to office@cos-collective.com
Join COS, a Home for Reflective Hybrids

The future is an unknown garment that invites us to weave our lives into it. How these garments will fit, cover, colour, connect and suit us lies in our (collective) hands. Many garments from the past have become too tight, too grey, too something…and the call for new shapes and textures is acknowledged by many. Yet changing clothes leaves one naked, half dressed in between. Let’s connect in this creative, vulnerable space and cut, weave and stitch together.

Our target group is reflective hybrids – leaders, scientists, consultants, and researchers from all over the world who dare to be and act complex. Multi-layered topics require multidimensional approaches that are, on the one hand, interdisciplinary and, on the other hand, linked to theory and practice, making the various truths and perspectives mutually useful.

If you feel you are a reflective hybrid you are very welcome to join our COS movement, for instance by:

• Visiting our website: www.cos-collective.com
• Getting in touch with COS-Creations. A space for personal & collective development, transformation and learning. Visit our website: www.cos-collective.com
• Following our COS-Conference online: www.cos-collective.com
• Subscribing to our newsletter: see www.cos-collective.com
• Subscribing to the COS Journal: see www.cos-collective.com
• Ordering single articles from the COS Journal: www.cos-collective.com
• Becoming a member of our LinkedIn group: go to www.linkedin.com and type in “Challenging Organisations and Society. reflective hybrids” or contact Tonnie van der Zouwen: office@cos-collective.com
SAVE THE DATE
4th COS Conference
15. – 17. November 2019
in Linz, Austria
The Journal with Impact

The Journal “Challenging Organisations and Society. reflective hybrids® (COS)” is the first journal to be dedicated to the rapidly growing requirements of reflective hybrids in our complex 21st-century organisations and society. Its international and multidisciplinary approaches balance theory and practice and show a wide range of perspectives in and between organisations and society. Being global and diverse in thinking and acting outside the box are the targets for its authors and readers in management, consulting and science.